1	Kevin P. Roddy, CA State Bar No. 128283	
2	kroddy@wilentz.com WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A. 90 Woodbridge Center Drive, Suite 900	
3	Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095 Tel: (732) 855-6402	
4	Kimberly Beck (Admission Pro Hac Vice Pendir	ησ)
5	BECK LAW CENTER 201 E. 5th Street, Suite 1900	
6	Cincinnati, OH 45202 Tel: (888) 434-2912	
7	E-mail: kim@becklawcenter.com	
8	Shehnaz M. Bhujwala, CA State Bar No. 223484 bhujwala@boucher.la	
9	BOUCHER LLP	
10	21600 Oxnard Street, Suite 600 Woodland Hills, California 91367-4903	
11	Tel: (818) 340-5400 Fax: (818) 340-5401	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
13	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAI	LIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION
15	JOSHUA ROSEWOLF, an individual, et al.,	Case No. 4:22-cv-02072-JSW
16	Plaintiffs,	JOINT STIPULATION TO RELATE ADDITIONAL CIVIL CASE;
17	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER
18	MERCK & CO., INC., a New Jersey Corporation, et al.,	(Local Rules 3-12, 7-11, 7-12)
19	Defendants.	The Hon. Jeffrey S. White
20		
21	This document also relates to:	Case No. 4:22-cv-02138-JSW
22	MARK STARR, an individual,	The Hon. Jeffrey S. White
23	Plaintiff,	
24	V.	
25	MERCK & CO., INC., a New Jersey Corporation, et al.,	
26	Defendants.	
27	Dorondants.	
28		

1	This document also relates to:	Case No. 4:22-cv-02263-JSW
2	SHAUNA GIBSON, an individual, et al.,	
3	Plaintiffs,	The Hon. Jeffrey S. White
4	V.	
5	MERCK & CO., INC., a New Jersey Corporation, et al.,	
6 7	Defendants.	
8		
9	This document also relates to:	Case No. 4:22-cv-02260-JSW
10	TYLER SKINNER, an individual,	The Hon. Jeffrey S. White
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	
13	MERCK & CO., INC., a New Jersey Corporation, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15	Defendants.	
16	This document also relates to:	Case No. 3:22-cv-02991-JCS
17	AMY ANDERSON, an individual, et al.	The Hon. Joseph C. Spero
18	Plaintiff,	The Hon. Joseph C. Spero
19	V.	
20	MERCK & CO., INC., a New Jersey Corporation, et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22	Belendants.	
23		•
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, by order dated April 26, 2022, the Honorable District Judge Jeffrey S. White granted Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related with modification, and ordered the following cases related to the low-numbered action entitled Rosewolf et. al., v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., N.D. Cal. Case No. 4:22-cv-02072-JSW ("Rosewolf"): (1) Starr v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., N.D. Cal. Case No. 4:22-cv-02138-JSW ("Starr"); (2) Gibson et. al., v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., N.D. Cal. Case No. 4:22-cv-02263-JSW ("Gibson"); and (3) Skinner v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., N.D. Cal. Case No. 4:22-cv-02260-JSW ("Skinner") (see, e.g., Rosewolf ECF Doc. 28);

WHEREAS, the Rosewolf, Starr, Gibson, and Skinner cases are all presently assigned to the Honorable District Judge Jeffrey S. White for the Northern District of California, and the parties are presently engaged in briefing on Defendants' motions to dismiss and motions to sever claims across the four cases;

WHEREAS, on May 20, 2022, Defendants removed to federal court an additional, related action, entitled Anderson, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., N.D. Cal. Case No. 3:22-cv-02991-JCS ("Anderson"), which is presently assigned to the Honorable Joseph C. Spero, Chief Magistrate Judge for the Northern District of California;

WHEREAS, the parties to the foregoing five actions, by and through their respective counsel of record, contend the actions involve substantially the same parties, transactions, or events (L.R. 3-12(a)), and it appears likely that there may be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges (L.R. 3-12(b));

WHEREAS, the parties, by and through their respective counsel of record, contend that the newly removed Anderson case is related to the Rosewolf, Starr, Gibson, and Skinner cases within the meaning of Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 3-12(a), for the same reasons set forth in the Court's April 26, 2022 Order (see, e.g., Rosewolf ECF Doc. 28);

WHEREAS, the parties contend that the treatment of the Anderson case as related to the Rosewolf, Starr, Gibson, and Skinner cases would serve the interests of judicial economy and

1	avoid any potential for conflicting rulings,		
2	NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE that the		
3	Anderson action be deemed related to the lowest-numbered Rosewolf action pending before this		
4	Court, within the meaning of Local Rule 3-12, and reassigned to the Honorable Jeffrey S. White.		
5			
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
7	DATED: May 25, 2022 BOUCHER LLP		
8			
9	By: /s/ Shehnaz M. Bhujwala		
10	SHEHNAZ M. BHUJWALA Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
11			
12	DATED: May 25, 2022 KING & SPALDING LLP		
13			
14	By: /s/ Julia Romano		
15	JULIA ROMANO		
16	Attorneys for Defendants		
17			
18	(PROPOSED) ORDER		
19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20			
21			
22	DATED: May 26 , 2022		
23			
24	When Stathets		
25	HON. JEFFREY S. WAITE		
26			
27			
28			